

**EXHIBIT B-3**

**Claim No. 4074**



**WR Grace**  
 Bankruptcy Form 10  
 Index Sheet

SR00000386

Claim Number: 00004074

Receive Date: 03/18/2003

**Multiple Claim Reference**

Claim Number \_\_\_\_\_

- ☐ MMPOC Medical Monitoring Claim Form  
☐ PDPOC Property Damage  
☐ NAPO Non-Asbestos Claim Form  
☐ Amended

Claim Number \_\_\_\_\_

- ☐ MMPOC Medical Monitoring Claim Form  
☐ PDPOC Property Damage  
☐ NAPO Non-Asbestos Claim Form  
☐ Amended

**Attorney Information**

Firm Number: 00259

Firm Name: Underberg &amp; Kessler LLP

Attorney Number: 00127

Attorney Name: Ronald G Hull

Zip Code: 14604

Cover Letter Location Number: SR00000386

**Attachments**  
**Medical Monitoring**

- ☐ TBD  
☐ TBD  
☐ TBD  
☐ TBD  
☐ TBD

**Attachments**  
**Property Damage**

- ☐ TBD  
☐ TBD  
☐ TBD  
☐ TBD  
☐ TBD  
☐ Other Attachments

**Non-Asbestos**

- ☐ Other Attachments

**Other**

- ☐ Non-Standard Form  
☐ Amended  
☐ Post-Deadline Postmark Date

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF Delaware		GRACE NON-ASBESTOS PROOF OF CLAIM FORM
Name of Debtor: <b>W.B. GRACE &amp; CO., et. al.</b>		Case Number <b>01-01139</b>
NOTE: Do not use this form to assert an Asbestos Personal Injury Claim, a Settled Asbestos Claim or a Zonolite Attic Insulation Claim. Those claims will be subject to a separate claims submission process. This form should also not be used to file a claim for an Asbestos Property Damage Claim or Medical Monitoring Claim. A specialized proof of claim form for each of these claims should be filed.		
Name of Creditor (The person or other entity to whom the Debtor owes money or property): <b>Macedon Homes, Inc.</b>		THIS SPACE IS FOR COURT USE ONLY
Name and address where notices should be sent: <b>Ronald G. Hull, Esq. Underberg &amp; Kessler LLP 1800 Chase Square Rochester, New York 14604</b>		
Account or other number by which creditor identifies Debtor: <b>Civil Action No. 95-CV-6400L</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> Check box if you are aware that anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating to your claim. Attach copy of statement giving particulars. <input type="checkbox"/> Check box if you have never received any notices from the bankruptcy court in this case. <input type="checkbox"/> Check box if the address differs from the address on the envelope sent to you by the court.
Corporate Name, Common Name, and/or d/b/a name of specific Debtor against whom the claim is asserted: <b>W.B. Grace &amp; Co.</b>		
1. Basis for Claim <input type="checkbox"/> Goods sold <input type="checkbox"/> Services performed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Environmental liability <input type="checkbox"/> Money loaned <input type="checkbox"/> Non-asbestos personal injury/wrongful death <input type="checkbox"/> Taxes <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____		<input type="checkbox"/> Retiree benefits as defined in 11 U.S.C. § 1114(a) <input type="checkbox"/> Wages, salaries, and compensation (fill out below)  Your SS #: _____ Unpaid compensation for services performed from _____ to _____ (date)
2. Date debt was incurred: <b>contingent claim</b>		3. If court judgment, date obtained:
4. Total Amount of Claim at Time Case Filed: If all or part of your claim is secured or entitled to priority, also complete Item 5 below. <input type="checkbox"/> Check this box if claim includes interest or other charges in addition to the principal amount of the claim. Attach itemized statement of all interest or additional charges.		<b>\$ 20,000,000</b>
5. Classification of Claim. Under the Bankruptcy Code all claims are classified as one or more of the following: (1) Unsecured Nonpriority, (2) Unsecured Priority, (3) Secured. It is possible for part of a claim to be in one category and part in another. CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX OR BOXES that best describe your claim and STATE THE AMOUNT OF THE CLAIM AT TIME CASE FILED.		
<input type="checkbox"/> SECURED CLAIM (check this box if your claim is secured by collateral, including a right of setoff)  Brief Description of Collateral:  <input type="checkbox"/> Real Estate <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Describe briefly) _____  Amount of arrearage and other charges <u>at time case filed</u> included in secured claim above, if any: \$ _____  Attach evidence of perfection of security interest  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNSECURED NONPRIORITY CLAIM  A claim is unsecured if there is no collateral or lien on property of the debtor securing the claim or to the extent that the value of such property is less than the amount of the claim.		<input type="checkbox"/> UNSECURED PRIORITY CLAIM - Specify the priority of the claim.  <input type="checkbox"/> Wages, salaries, or commissions (up to \$4650), earned not more than 90 days before filing of the bankruptcy petition or cessation of the debtor's business, whichever is earlier - 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(3).  <input type="checkbox"/> Contributions to an employee benefit plan - 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4).  <input type="checkbox"/> Taxes or penalties of governmental units - 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(7).  <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify applicable paragraph of 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(____).
6. Credits: The amount of all payments on this claim has been credited and deducted for the purpose of making this proof of claim.		This Space is for Court Use Only
7. Supporting Documents: <u>Attach copies of supporting documents</u> , such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, court judgments, mortgages, security agreements and evidence of perfection of lien. DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS. If the documents are not available, explain. If the documents are voluminous, attach a summary.		
8. Acknowledgement: Upon receipt and processing of this Proof of Claim, you will receive an acknowledgement card indicating the date of filing and your unique claim number. If you want a file stamped copy of the Proof of Claim form itself, enclose a self addressed envelope and copy of this proof of claim form.		
Date <b>3/14/03</b>	Sign and print the name and title, if any, of the creditor or other person authorized to file this claim (attach copy of power of attorney, if any): <b>Ronald G. Hull</b>	

REC'D MAR 18 2003

See General Instructions and Claims Bar Date Notice and its exhibits for names of all Debtors and "other names" used by the Debtors.

 WR Grace BF.18.69.3426  
 00004074  
 SR=386

217

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SENECA MEADOWS, INC.  
1786 Salcman Road  
Waterloo, New York 13165

and

MACEDON HOMES, INC.  
4400 Nine Mile Point Road  
Fairport, New York 14450-8792

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ECI LIQUIDATING, INC.  
EVANS CHEMETICS, INC.  
EVANS CHEMETICS DIVISION OF HAMPSHIRE  
CHEMICAL CORP.  
EVANS CHEMETICS DIVISION OF W.R. GRACE & CO.  
EVANS CHEMETICS DIVISION OF W.R. GRACE  
& CO. - CONN.  
FISHER BODY DIVISION OF GENERAL MOTORS CORP.  
FISHER GUIDE DIVISION OF GENERAL MOTORS CORP.  
GTE CORPORATION  
GTE PRODUCTS CORPORATION  
GTE SYLVANIA INCORPORATED  
GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION  
GENERAL TELEPHONE & ELECTRONICS CORPORATION  
GOULDS PUMPS, INCORPORATED  
GOULDS PUMPS (N.Y.), INC.  
HAMPSHIRE CHEMICAL CORP.  
NORTH AMERICAN PHILIPS CORPORATION  
OSRAM SYLVANIA INC.  
PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION  
SALES AFFILIATES, INC.  
SYLVANIA ELECTRIC PRODUCTS, INC.  
SYLVANIA ELECTRICAL PRODUCTS INC.  
W.R. GRACE & CO.  
W.R. GRACE & CO. - CONN.,  
ZOTOS INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Defendants.

ORIGINAL  
RECEIVED AND FILED  
USDC WDNY ROCH  
JAN 18 2001  
BY: [Signature]

Civil Action No.  
95-CV-6400L  
THIRD  
AMENDED  
COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs, by their attorneys, Underberg & Kessler, LLP, allege as follows:

**I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. This action is brought pursuant to section 113 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. §9613, the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§2201 et seq., and federal and New York State common law.

2. Plaintiff Seneca Meadows, Inc. ("SMI") seeks recovery from defendants for response costs which SMI has already incurred and response costs which it will incur in the future, including response costs relating to claims for natural resource damages, as well as common law damages and for injunctive and declaratory relief, and appropriate further relief. Response costs have been incurred and will be incurred because of contamination at the Tantalo Landfill Site located in the Town of Seneca Falls, New York (the "Tantalo Site") and because of off-site contamination in the surrounding area.

3. Plaintiff Macedon Homes, Inc. ("MHI") seeks recovery jointly and severally from defendants for contamination of its property, including common law damages and for injunctive and declaratory relief, and appropriate further relief.

4. This Court has jurisdiction over the federal statutory claims pursuant to section 113 of CERCLA, and 28 U.S.C. §1331. SMI seeks recovery under federal law, specifically 42 U.S.C. §9613(f) and federal common law.

5. This Court has authority to issue a declaratory judgment concerning the rights and liabilities of the parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201, 2202 and 42 U.S.C. §9613(g)(2).

6. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367 over claims arising under New York common law because those claims arise out of a common

nucleus of operative facts shared with the federal causes of action and the federal and state law claims form part of the same case and controversy.

7. Venue lies in the Western District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and 42 U.S.C. §9613(b) because the Tantalio Site and adjacent properties are located within this judicial district, the acts complained of occurred in this judicial district, and the releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances at and/or from the Tantalio Site and adjacent properties have occurred or threaten to occur within this judicial district. In addition, many of the parties, including SMI, MHI and several defendants, are located within the Western District.

## **II. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

8. The Tantalio Site is located north of Salcman Road, east of the Waterloo Town Line, west of State Route 414, and south of Black Brook in the Town of Seneca Falls, State of New York. The Tantalio Site is currently owned by SMI. SMI also owns parcels of property adjacent to the Tantalio Site.

9. The parcel of real property comprising the Tantalio Site is within a larger parcel identified as Tax Map No.30-1-02 in the County of Seneca records.

10. The MHI property consists of parcels identified as Tax Map Parcel No. 19-1-26.12 and 33-1-72 in the County of Seneca records ("MHI Property").

11. MHI owns two parcels of real property adjacent to the Tantalio Site. These parcels are located north of North Road, south of Salcman Road, west of State Route 414 and east of Burgess Road and located partially in the Town of Waterloo and partially in the Town of Seneca Falls, State of New York.

12. The Tantalio Site is listed on the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation's ("DEC's") Registry of Inactive Hazardous Waste Sites as a Class 2 site, designated as Site number 8-50-004.

13. Upon information and belief, land filling and other waste disposal practices commenced at the Tantalio Site in approximately 1958 and continued until at least 1974.

14. Upon information and belief, during this period, hazardous substances, as defined by CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601 (14), were disposed of, treated and/or released at the Tantalio Site, including, but not limited to:

ammonium bisulfate  
hydrated iron oxide  
zinc chloride  
heavy metals  
nickel  
ethanol  
acetone  
chrome  
trichloroethane  
methylene chloride  
chlorinated solvents  
formaldehyde  
paint sludge  
nickel  
chromium  
wastewater treatment sludge  
 $\text{NH}_4\text{HSO}_4$

ammonium chloride  
waste oil  
hydrochloric acid  
filtercake from thioglycolic acid process  
zinc  
methanol  
methylmethacrylate  
trichloroethylene  
perchloroethylene  
lead carbonate  
foundry sand  
phenols  
solvents  
kolene sludge (EPA Waste Code D002)  
PCBs  
 $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$

15. Defendants have:

- (i) generated and/or arranged for disposal or treatment of hazardous substances at the Tantalio Site; and/or
- (ii) arranged with a transporter for transport and disposal or treatment at the Tantalio Site of hazardous substances owned, possessed and/or generated by defendants; and/or
- (iii) transported hazardous substances to the Tantalio Site for disposal or treatment and participated in the selection of the Tantalio Site for such disposal or treatment.

16. SMI entered into a Consent Order with DEC, dated August 19, 1992. The Consent Order establishes the terms and conditions of the remedial investigation and feasibility study ("RI/FS") at the Tantalio Site. The Consent Order requires, among other things, that SMI fund the RI/FS at the Tantalio Site.

17. Upon information and belief, defendants were notified by DEC that they are potentially responsible parties ("PRPs") at the Tantalio Site.

18. Results of on-site and off-site investigations indicate that various hazardous substances, including but not limited to VOCs, trichloroethylene, 1,2 - dichloroethylene, vinyl chloride, benzene, chloroethane, xylene and various inorganic substances/metals are present in the groundwater at the Tantalio Site and in the groundwater beneath the surrounding area including in the area of the MHI Property. These substances are "hazardous substances" as defined by CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601(14).

19. Each of the defendants is a "person" as defined in CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601(21).



20. Releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances, as defined by CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601(22), have occurred and/or are occurring at the Tantalito Site and the surrounding area.

21. The hazardous substances released or threatened to be released from the Tantalito Site have commingled to produce an indivisible harm.

22. Upon information and belief, some of the releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances may have been sudden and accidental.

23. The Tantalito Site is a "facility" as defined in CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601(9).

24. As a result of the defendants' acts and of the release or threatened release of hazardous substances, SMI has incurred over \$3,000,000.00 in response costs, and will continue to incur future response costs at the Tantalito Site, which, upon information and belief, may exceed \$20,000,000.00. All response costs have been and will continue to be necessary and consistent with the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (the "NCP"), 40 C.F.R. Part 300 and the applicable New York State regulations. All response costs are recoverable by SMI pursuant to CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9613.

25. In addition, SMI has suffered damages pursuant to New York law, including loss in value of its property at and in the vicinity of the Tantalito Site, loss of use and enjoyment of its property at and in the vicinity of the Tantalito Site, costs to respond to and investigate the contamination, and additional damages pursuant to the causes of action herein. SMI will continue to suffer these and similar damages in the future.

26. MHI has suffered damages pursuant to New York law, including loss in value of the MHI Property, loss of use and enjoyment of the MHI Property, and additional

damages pursuant to the causes of action herein. MHI will continue to suffer these and similar damages in the future.

27. Upon information and belief, defendants have taken no response action at the Tantalio Site and have incurred no response costs at the Tantalio Site. Defendants have not reimbursed SMI or MHI for response costs or damages incurred.

### III. PARTIES

#### Plaintiffs

28. Plaintiff SMI was and is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York with its principal place of business located at 1786 Salcman Road in the Town of Seneca Falls, State of New York.

29. Plaintiff MHI was and is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York with its principal place of business located at 4400 Nine Mile Point Road in the Village of Fairport, State of New York.

#### Defendants

##### A. ECI LIQUIDATING, INC. and EVANS CHEMETICS, INC.

30. Upon information and belief, ECI Liquidating, Inc. ("ECI") was formerly named Evans Chemetics, Inc. ("Evans") (collectively "ECI/Evans").

31. ECI/Evans was and is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York.

32. Upon information and belief, ECI/Evans owned and operated manufacturing/industrial plants at 228 East Main Street in the Village of Waterloo, State

of New York and in the Town of Geneva, State of New York ("Evans Plants"). Upon information and belief:

- a. ECI/Evans was and is a person within the meaning of CERCLA.
- b. ECI/Evans arranged for disposal or treatment of hazardous substances at the Tantalito Site, or arranged with a transporter for transport and disposal or treatment of hazardous substances at the Tantalito Site for the period at least from 1958 to 1974.
- c. The hazardous substances were generated, owned and/or possessed by ECI/Evans and resulted from various ECI/Evans activities including, but not limited to manufacturing/industrial and other operations at the Evans Plants.
- d. ECI/Evans generated, owned, possessed, and/or arranged for disposal at the Tantalito Site of hazardous substances, including but not limited to filtercake from thioglycolic acid process; ammonium bisulfate; ammonium chloride; wastewater treatment sludge;  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  and  $\text{NH}_4\text{HSO}_4$ ; hydrated iron oxide; waste oil; zinc chloride; hydrochloric acid; nickel; and zinc.
- e. ECI/Evans transported hazardous substances to the Tantalito Site for disposal or treatment.
- f. ECI/Evans selected the Tantalito Site for disposal of hazardous substances.

33. ECI/Evans is liable under CERCLA as a generator, arranger and transporter of hazardous substances to the Tantalito Site.

**B. SALES AFFILIATES, INC. and ZOTOS INTERNATIONAL, INC.**

34. Upon information and belief, Sales Affiliates, Inc. was formerly named Evans Research and Development Corporation (collectively "Sales Affiliates").

35. Sales Affiliates was and is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York with its principal place of business at 90-100 Tokemeke Road, Darien, CT 06820.

36. Sales Affiliates was and is a person within the meaning of CERCLA.

37. Upon information and belief, for most of the relevant period, Sales Affiliates was the parent corporation of ECI/Evans, owning all of ECI/Evans' stock.

38. Upon information and belief, Zotos International, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York with a principal place of business at 300 Forge Avenue, Geneva, New York 14456-0071.

39. Upon information and belief, Zotos International, Inc. was formerly named Sales Affiliates, Inc. until the corporation's name was changed on October 21, 1969 (collectively "Zotos International").

40. Zotos International, Inc. was and is a person within the meaning of CERCLA.

41. Upon information and belief, Zotos International had the same shareholder(s) as Sales Affiliates during part of the relevant period, but during most of the relevant period was a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sales Affiliates.

42. Beginning approximately in 1942, Zotos (operating under the name Sales Affiliates, Inc.) formulated and packaged finished or consumer products (generally the "hair care products") at a plant it owned that was located at 228 East Main Street in Waterloo,

New York (the "Waterloo Plant"). Commencing approximately at that same time, ECI/Evans, an affiliated or sister corporation, leased a portion of the Waterloo Plant and manufactured various organic chemicals.

43. Both the organic chemical production activities and hair care product formulation and packaging activities continued at the Waterloo Plant until approximately 1963. In 1963, the hair care product formulation and packaging activities moved to a facility located in the Town of Geneva, State of New York (the "Geneva Plant")

44. Upon information and belief, Zotos actually performed the hair care product formulation and packaging activities at the Waterloo Plant from 1942 until approximately 1963. Zotos maintains that it ceased these activities when Zotos leased to ECI/Evans in 1946, and sold to ECI/Evans in 1954, the assets associated with the hair care product formulation and packaging activities (the "hair care products division assets"). Zotos further contends that it repurchased the hair care products division assets and business from ECI/Evans in 1967. Zotos contends that, throughout the period of time from approximately 1946 until 1967, it merely contracted for the fabrication, packaging, purchase and sale of hair care products from ECI/Evans for resale to customers.

45. During the period of time from approximately 1946 until approximately 1963, the hair care product formulation and packaging activities at the Waterloo Plant generated process wastes. These hair care product process wastes were disposed of with other solid wastes that were generated at the Waterloo Plant. Zotos arranged for the disposal of these hair care product process wastes during the foregoing time period either by actually engaging, itself, in the production, handling, transportation and disposal activities, or by: (i) contractually arranging for ECI/Evans to perform those activities on its behalf; (ii)

directing and controlling such activities; and, (iii) reimbursing ECI/Evans for all costs and expenses incurred in connection with those activities.

46. Also, during that time period, Zotos directed its customers to return off-specification and out-dated hair care products to the Waterloo Plant for reprocessing and/or disposal. During this time period, Zotos also directed that batch samples of packaged hair care products that had been retained for quality control purposes, and outdated inventory, be disposed of from the Waterloo Plant.

47. Until approximately 1963, wastes originating from the Waterloo Plant that were disposed of at the Tantalio Site included wastes from the manufacture of organic chemicals and facility maintenance and also the hair care products division process wastes, the discarded hair care product customer returns, retained batch samples, and outdated inventory. The hair care product process wastes, customer returns, retained batch samples, and outdated inventory that were disposed of with the Waterloo Plant's waste stream contained materials classified as "hazardous substances" under CERCLA.

48. Regardless of who performed the hair care product formulation and packaging activities during the period from 1946 to 1963, Zotos directly arranged for the treatment and/or disposal of hazardous substances contained in any hair care product customer returns, retained batch samples, and outdated inventory that were disposed at the Tantalio Site.

49. In the event that ECI/Evans performed the hair care product formulation and packaging activities at the Waterloo Plant from 1946 until approximately 1963, and at the Geneva Plant from approximately 1963 until 1967, Zotos arranged for the treatment and/or disposal of hazardous substances contained in any hair care product process wastes that

were disposed at the Tantalio Site by virtue of its contractual relationship with ECI/Evans, its direction and control over the hair care product formulation and packaging activities, and its payment for the disposal costs and expenses.

50. In the event that ECI/Evans performed the hair care products formulation and packaging activities at the Waterloo Plant from 1946 to approximately 1963 and at the Geneva Plant from approximately 1963 to 1967, Zotos assumed liability for the treatment and/or disposal of hazardous substances contained in any hair care product process wastes that were disposed of at the Tantalio Site because, as a result of Zotos' reacquisition of the hair care products division assets and business from ECI/Evans in 1967, Zotos succeeded to the liabilities arising from any and all waste disposal activities that were conducted by ECI/Evans in connection with its operation of the hair care products division. These liabilities include any and all liabilities that ECI/Evans incurred arising out of any disposal at the Tantalio Site of hair care products customer returns, retained batch samples, and outdated inventory, as well as process wastes resulting from the formulation and packaging of hair care products.

51. Zotos reacquired the hair care products division from ECI/Evans as part of a reorganization of the affiliated Evans companies. Upon information and belief, there was no formal purchase agreement between Zotos and ECI/Evans, only a determination by top management that the hair care products division would be transferred to Zotos.

52. After the transfer of the hair care products division from ECI/Evans to Zotos, the hair care products division (i) continued to operate in the same location, (ii) continued to manufacture the same products using the same production processes, (iii) continued to manufacture products for the same customers, (iv) continued to operate with the same

employees and supervisors, and (v) continued to operate under the same working conditions as prior to the transfer.

53. Upon information and belief, the transition of ownership from ECI/Evans to Zotos was a mere reorganization as ECI/Evans and Zotos had the same shareholder and operated as an integrated business enterprise.

54. ECI/Evans Chemetics continued in existence after 1967 and continued to manufacture organic chemicals at the Waterloo Plant; however, the hair care products division was transferred in total to Zotos.

55. Zotos substantially continued the business enterprise and succeeded to the liabilities of the of the hair care products division, including liability for wastes disposed at the Tantalio site from 1958 to 1967.

56. Zotos is jointly responsible with ECI/Evans for the wastes associated with the hair care products division, which were disposed at the Tantalio Site from 1958 to 1967.

57. In connection with its manufacturing operations at the Geneva Plant from 1967 through 1974, Zotos generated, owned and/or possessed wastes, including hair care product wastes, discarded hair care product customer returns, retained batch samples and outdated inventory, which contained hazardous substances.

58. From 1967 through 1974, Zotos arranged for the disposal of wastes from the Geneva Plant by A&C Trucking, a local waste hauler.

59. A&C Trucking routinely disposed of wastes at the Tantalio Site, including, upon information and belief, wastes collected from Zotos' Geneva Plant.



60. Upon information and belief, some or all of the wastes containing hazardous substances generated, owned and/or possessed by Zotos during the period 1967 through 1974 were disposed at the Tantalio Site.

61. Zotos is solely responsible for wastes associated with the hair care products division which were disposed at the Tantalio Site from 1967 through 1974.

62. Zotos International is liable under CERCLA as the generator, arranger and transporter of hazardous substances which were disposed at the Tantalio Site.

**C. W.R. GRACE & CO., W.R. GRACE & CO. - CONN.  
and EVANS CHEMETICS DIVISIONS**

63. Upon information and belief, W.R. Grace & Co. and/or W.R. Grace & Co. - Conn. conducted operations through their Evans Chemetics Divisions.

64. Defendant W.R. Grace & Co. was and is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York with a principal place of business at One Town Center Road, Boca Raton, Florida and defendant W.R. Grace & Co.-Conn. was and is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Connecticut with a principal place of business at One Town Center Road, Boca Raton, Florida (The foregoing entities, along with their Evans Chemetics Divisions, collectively are referred to as "W.R. Grace").

65. W.R. Grace was and is authorized to do business in the State of New York.

66. W.R. Grace was and is a person within the meaning of CERCLA.

67. Upon information and belief, W.R. Grace acquired the ECI/Evans business in 1978, including all of the assets and operations at the Evans' Plants. Upon information

and belief, the acquisition was completed through the issuance of W.R. Grace common stock in exchange for ECI/Evans stock and/or assets.

68. Upon information and belief, W.R. Grace obtained and continued to operate the ECI/Evans business. Upon information and belief, W.R. Grace retained ECI/Evans' employees, acquired all of ECI/Evans' assets including real property, equipment, machinery, inventory, supplies, records, customer lists, and contracts, continued ECI/Evans' business operations in the same manner as ECI/Evans, maintained the ECI/Evans name, and otherwise succeeded to the ECI/Evans business, including operations at the Evans Plants.

69. As a result of the foregoing, W.R. Grace is the successor in interest to ECI/Evans, and is liable for ECI/Evans' activities as a successor in interest under CERCLA and federal and New York State common law.

70. Upon information and belief, when W.R. Grace later divested itself of the ECI/Evans business and ECI/Evans Plants, W.R. Grace agreed to retain environmental liabilities for ECI/Evans' past activities.

**D. HAMPSHIRE CHEMICAL CORP. and ITS EVANS CHEMETICS DIVISION**

71. Upon information and belief, Hampshire Chemical Corp. conducted operations through its Evans Chemetics Division (collectively "Hampshire Chemical").

72. Upon information and belief, Hampshire Chemical was and is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business at 55 Hayden Ave., Lexington, Massachusetts 02173.

73. Hampshire Chemical owns and operates one of the former Evans Plants at 228 East Main Street in the Village of Waterloo, State of New York.

74. Hampshire Chemical was and is a person within the meaning of CERCLA.

75. Hampshire Chemical is authorized to do business in the State of New York.

76. Upon information and belief, Hampshire Chemical acquired the Evans business in 1993 from W.R. Grace, including all of the assets and operations at the Evans Plants in Waterloo.

77. Upon information and belief, Hampshire Chemical obtained and continued to operate the ECI/Evans business. Upon information and belief, Hampshire Chemical retained ECI/Evans employees, continued the ECI/Evans business operations, maintained the Evans name, continued with the same management personnel, and otherwise succeeded to the ECI/Evans business.

78. As a result, Hampshire Chemical is the successor in interest to W.R. Grace/ECI/Evans, and is liable for ECI/Evans' activities as a successor in interest under CERCLA and federal and New York State common law.

#### **E. THE SYLVANIA DEFENDANTS**

79. Upon information and belief, OSRAM Sylvania Inc. is a successor to and/or is in fact the same entity as one or more of the following: Sylvania Electrical Products, Inc.; Sylvania Electric Products Inc.; GTE Sylvania Incorporated; and GTE Products Corporation (OSRAM Sylvania Inc. and the foregoing entities are collectively referred to as the "Sylvania Defendants").

80. The Sylvania Defendants were and are corporations organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with a common principal place of business at 100 Endicott Street, Danvers, Massachusetts.

81. The Sylvania Defendants are authorized to do business in the State of New York.

82. Upon information and belief, the Sylvania Defendants formerly owned and operated a manufacturing/industrial plant on Johnston Street in Seneca Falls, New York (the "Sylvania Plant"). Upon information and belief:

- a. The Sylvania Defendants were and are persons within the meaning of CERCLA.
- b. The Sylvania Defendants arranged for disposal or treatment of hazardous substances at the Tantalio Site, or arranged with a transporter for transport and disposal or treatment of hazardous substances at the Tantalio Site during the period 1958 to 1974.
- c. The hazardous substances were generated, owned and/or possessed by the Sylvania Defendants and resulted from various Sylvania Defendants' activities including, but not limited to manufacturing and other operations at the Sylvania Plant located in Seneca Falls, New York.
- d. The Sylvania Defendants generated, owned, possessed and/or arranged for disposal at the Tantalio Site of hazardous substances, including but not limited to ethanol, methanol, acetone, methylmethacrylate, chrome, waste oil, trichloroethylene, trichloroethane, perchloroethylene, methylene chloride, lead carbonate and chlorinated solvents.

- e. The Sylvania Defendants transported hazardous substances to the Tantalio Site for disposal or treatment.
- f. The Sylvania Defendants selected the Tantalio Site for disposal of hazardous substances.

83. The Sylvania Defendants are liable under CERCLA as generators, arrangers, and transporters of hazardous substances to the Tantalio Site.

**F. GTE CORPORATION and GENERAL TELEPHONE  
& ELECTRONICS CORPORATION**

84. Upon information and belief, defendant GTE Corporation was formerly named General Telephone & Electronics Corporation (collectively "GTE Corp.").

85. GTE Corp. was and is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York with its principal place of business at One Stamford Forum, Stamford, CT 06904.

86. GTE Corp. was and is a person within the meaning of CERCLA.

87. Upon information and belief, one or more of the Sylvania Defendants were wholly owned subsidiaries of GTE Corp. at the time that one or more of the Sylvania Defendants' hazardous substances were disposed at the Tantalio Site.

88. Upon information and belief, GTE Corp. dominated and controlled one or more of the Sylvania Defendants, had the authority to control one or more of the Sylvania Defendants' waste disposal practices and financial affairs, and in fact exercised this control.

89. Upon information and belief, GTE Corp. was in fact the alter ego of one or more of the Sylvania Defendants.

90. As a result, GTE Corp. was a controlling parent of one or more of the Sylvania Defendants at the time of disposal of hazardous substances at the Tantalio Site, and is liable for the activities of one or more of the Sylvania Defendants and their predecessors under CERCLA and federal and New York State common law.

**G. PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION and  
NORTH AMERICAN PHILIPS CORPORATION**

91. Upon information and belief, Philips Electronics North America Corporation was formerly named North American Philips Corporation (collectively "Philips").

92. Philips was and is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with a principal place of business, at 100 East 42nd Street, New York, New York.

93. Upon information and belief, Philips owns and operates the former Sylvania Plant at 50 Johnston Street, Seneca Falls, New York.

94. Philips is authorized to do business in the State of New York.

95. Philips was and is a person within the meaning of CERCLA.

96. Upon information and belief, Philips acquired all of the assets and operations of the Sylvania Defendants at the Seneca Falls industrial facility and operations, including the Sylvania Plant.

97. Upon information and belief, Philips retained the Sylvania Defendants' employees, continued the business operations, and otherwise succeeded to the Sylvania Defendants' business.

98. As a result, Philips is the successor in interest to the Sylvania Defendants and their predecessors, and is liable for the activities of Sylvania Defendants and their

predecessors as a successor in interest under CERCLA and federal and New York State common law.

**H. GOULDS PUMPS, INCORPORATED and GOULDS PUMPS (N.Y.), INC.**

99. Goulds Pumps, Incorporated is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 240 Falls Street, Seneca Falls, New York and Goulds Pumps (N.Y.), Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York with the same principal offices and same address (collectively "Goulds Pumps").

100. Goulds Pumps was and is authorized to do business in the State of New York.

101. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Goulds Pumps owned and operated manufacturing/industrial facilities at Falls Street in the Village of Seneca Falls ("Goulds Plant"). Upon information and belief:

- a. Goulds Pumps was and is a person within the meaning of CERCLA.
- b. Goulds Pumps arranged for disposal or treatment of hazardous substances at the Tantalio Site, or arranged with a transporter for transport and disposal or treatment of hazardous substances at the Tantalio Site during the period at least from 1965 to 1974.
- c. The hazardous substances were generated, owned and/or possessed by Goulds Pumps and resulted from various Goulds Pumps' activities including but not limited to manufacturing operations at the Goulds Plant.

- d. Goulds Pumps generated, owned, possessed and/or arranged for disposal at the Tantalio Site of hazardous substances, including but not limited to foundry sand, formaldehyde, phenols and paint sludge.
- e. Goulds Pumps transported hazardous substances to the Tantalio Site for disposal or treatment.
- f. Goulds Pumps selected the Tantalio Site for disposal of hazardous substances.

102. Goulds Pumps is liable under CERCLA as a generator, arranger and transporter of hazardous substances at the Tantalio Site.

**I. GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION and  
FISHER GUIDE- FISHER BODY DIVISIONS**

103. Upon information and belief, General Motors Corporation conducted/conducts operations through its Fisher Guide and/or Fisher Body Divisions (collectively "GM").

104. Upon information and belief, GM was and is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with a place of business at 1000 Town Line Road in Syracuse, New York.

105. GM was and is authorized to do business in the State of New York.

106. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, GM owned and operated an industrial facility located at 1000 Town Line Road in Syracuse, New York ("Fisher Guide Plant"). Upon information and belief:

- a. GM was and is a person within the meaning of CERCLA.
- b. GM has arranged for disposal or treatment of hazardous substances at the Tantalio Site, or has arranged with a transporter for transport and disposal or treatment of hazardous substances at the Tantalio



Site from various GM activities including, but not limited to operations at the Fisher Guide Plant for the period including 1973 and 1974.

- c. The hazardous substances disposed at the Tantalito Site were generated, owned and/or possessed by GM.
- d. GM generated, owned, possessed and/or arranged for disposal at the Tantalito Site of hazardous substances, including but not limited to paint sludge, various heavy metals, kolene sludge (EPA Waste Code D002), solvents, nickel, chromium, PCBs and treatment sludge.

107. GM is liable under CERCLA as a generator and arranger for disposal of hazardous substances at the Tantalito Site.

#### IV. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF, UNDER CERCLA §113

108. Plaintiff SMI incorporates and realleges as though fully set forth herein the allegations appearing in paragraphs "1" through "98" of this Complaint.

109. As a result of the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Tantalito Site, SMI has incurred response costs in an amount of at least \$1,597,329.00 and will incur additional response costs in the future which, upon information and belief, may exceed \$20,000,000.00.

110. The response costs incurred and to be incurred by SMI are necessary and consistent with the NCP and the appropriate New York regulations.

111. Pursuant to CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§9601(32) and 9607(a)(1)-(4), defendants, as "covered persons", or as successors in interest or controlling parents of covered persons, are strictly liable to SMI for some or all response costs incurred and to be incurred

by SMI in response to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at and from the Tantalito Site.

112. SMI has incurred and will continue to incur response costs in connection with the Tantalito Site in excess of its true equitable share of responsibility for contamination at the Tantalito Site.

113. Upon information and belief, defendants have incurred no response costs and have not paid their true equitable shares of response costs for the Tantalito Site.

114. Pursuant to CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§9601(32), 9613(f), and 9607, defendants are liable in contribution to SMI for all response costs incurred and to be incurred by SMI, to the extent that such response costs incurred by SMI are in excess of SMI's true equitable share.

#### **V. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF, FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT**

115. Plaintiff SMI incorporates and realleges as though fully set forth herein the allegations appearing in paragraphs "1" through "105" of this Complaint.

116. An actual, substantial, legal controversy now exists between SMI and defendants based upon defendants' obligation to reimburse SMI for its past and future costs of response resulting from or arising out of the release(s) which occurred and which may occur at the Tantalito Site for which the defendants are strictly, jointly and severally liable under CERCLA.

117. A declaratory judgment defining the future obligations of the parties will prevent the need for multiple lawsuits as SMI incurs response costs and other damages in the future and will provide a final resolution of the issue between the parties regarding liability for such costs and damages.

118. A declaratory judgment will further the public interest by ensuring an environmentally proper response and by ensuring that SMI will be reimbursed for necessary response costs incurred.

119. SMI is entitled to a declaratory judgment stating that defendants are legally responsible for the future response costs incurred by SMI in connection with the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at and in the vicinity of the Tantalio Site pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2201.

**VI. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF, FOR NEGLIGENCE**

120. Plaintiffs SMI and MHI incorporate and reallege as though fully set forth herein the allegations appearing in paragraphs "1" through "119" of this Complaint.

121. At all relevant times, defendants knew or should have known of the hazardous nature of the hazardous substances and wastes used, generated, disposed at, handled, transported to or otherwise present at the Tantalio Site in connection with each defendants' operations.

122. At all relevant times, defendants knew or should have known of the potential for a release of hazardous substances or waste to the environment at the Tantalio Site and to nearby properties including the MHI Property.

123. Defendants, as generators of hazardous substances or waste disposed of at the Tantalio Site and/or as transporters of hazardous substances or waste to the Tantalio Site, owed a duty to SMI and MHI to act and/or to require others to act in a safe and reasonable manner in treating, storing, disposing, transporting and handling the hazardous substances or waste. This duty extended to: (i) taking precautions in order to prevent a release of hazardous substances or waste at the Tantalio Site, (ii) preventing any releases

of hazardous substances or waste from migrating at or from the Tantalo Site, (iii) limiting the risk of danger, loss and liability faced by SMI, MHI and/or their employees due to the presence of hazardous substances and wastes at the Tantalo Site and nearby properties and (iv) containing, remediating or otherwise addressing the contamination at the Tantalo Site and nearby properties.

124. The acts or omissions of defendants with regard to the hazardous substances or wastes at the Tantalo Site were unreasonable, negligent, wanton, reckless and in total disregard for the safety, welfare and health of SMI, the Tantalo Site and nearby properties including the MHI Property, SMI's and MHI's employees, the general public and the environment.

125. Defendants breached the above duties to SMI and MHI, resulting in the discharge, release, and/or migration of hazardous substances and wastes to the environment and further resulting in contamination of and damage to the Tantalo Site and nearby properties, including MHI Property.

126. As a result of the foregoing, SMI has incurred expenses in the investigation and cleanup of the Tantalo Site, has suffered a decline in the fair market value of its property both at and in the vicinity of the Tantalo Site, and will incur additional expenses, losses, costs and damages in the future.

127. As a result of the foregoing, MHI has suffered a decline in the fair market value of the MHI Property, and will incur additional expenses, losses, costs and damages in the future.

128. By reason of the foregoing, SMI and MHI are entitled to compensatory damages in an amount which will compensate SMI and MHI for their losses and other damage, and a declaration of defendants' liability for future losses and damages incurred.

**VII. FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF, FOR UNJUST ENRICHMENT**

129. Plaintiff SMI incorporates and realleges as though fully set forth herein the allegations appearing in paragraphs "1" through "128" of this Complaint.

130. Defendants, as liable parties under CERCLA, New York Environmental Conservation Law and other applicable law, have obligations to DEC to investigate and remediate the contamination, releases and/or threatened releases of hazardous substances at the Tantalio Site, and to compensate DEC for costs it incurs.

131. SMI has incurred and will continue to incur losses and future additional expenses in the investigation and cleanup of the Tantalio Site and nearby properties, and will incur additional expenses, losses and costs in the future due to the individual and collective acts or omissions of defendants which resulted in the leaking, spilling, discharging and releasing of various hazardous substances and wastes into the environment at the Tantalio Site and/or the migration of those substances at the Tantalio Site and nearby properties.

132. Defendants have been unjustly enriched to the extent that:

- (i) SMI has paid for the above-described investigation and analysis,
  - (ii) SMI has paid for the provision of alternate water supplies in the area,
- and

- (iii) SMI may be required to pay in the future for additional investigation, remediation and response at the Tantalio Site and nearby properties as a result of the conduct of defendants.

133. SMI may be compelled in the future to further investigate and remediate the Tantalio Site and nearby properties as a result of contamination caused by hazardous substances and wastes which were spilled, discharged, leaked or released at the Tantalio Site.

134. SMI has discharged and continues to discharge a liability that is equitably attributable to defendants as a result of the conduct alleged herein.

135. SMI is entitled to compensation for all previous and future losses and damages in connection with the Tantalio Site and nearby properties.

#### **VIII. FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF, FOR RESTITUTION**

136. Plaintiff SMI incorporates and realleges as though fully set forth herein the allegations appearing in paragraphs "1" through "135" of this Complaint.

137. SMI has expended and will be required to expend money to correct and remedy the contaminated conditions at the Tantalio Site and nearby properties pursuant to CERCLA and other applicable law. These contaminated conditions were caused by the conduct of defendants as alleged herein.

138. SMI has expended money on behalf of the legal obligations of defendants to investigate, evaluate, contain, and remove the contamination at the Tantalio Site and nearby properties.

139. Pursuant to common law principles of restitution, defendants are required, but have failed, to reimburse SMI for the costs and expenses incurred by SMI.

**IX. SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF, FOR CONTRIBUTION**

140. Plaintiff SMI incorporates and realleges as though fully set forth herein the allegations appearing in paragraphs "1" through "139" of this Complaint.

141. New York CPLR §1401 provides that if two or more persons are subject to liability for damages for the same injury to property, one person may claim contribution from the other whether or not an action has been brought or a judgment has been rendered against the person from whom contribution is sought.

142. As a direct and proximate result of the acts or omissions of defendants, SMI has incurred and will in the future incur costs for investigation, evaluation, containment, removal and remediation of the Tantalio Site and nearby properties due to releases of hazardous substances or wastes into the soil, water and air at the Tantalio Site.

143. SMI is entitled to complete indemnification and/or contribution from defendants pursuant to CPLR §1401 for all necessary costs of response, as well as expenses and disbursements.

144. Although SMI believes that it is entitled to complete indemnification and/or contribution, if it is determined that SMI is not entitled to complete indemnification and/or contribution, SMI seeks judgment in the amount of the equitable shares of liability attributable to defendants.

**X. SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF, FOR PRIVATE NUISANCE**

145. Plaintiffs SMI and MHI incorporate and reallege as though fully set forth herein the allegations appearing in paragraphs "1" through "144" of this Complaint.

146. Defendants, as a result of the foregoing, individually and collectively have unreasonably interfered with and invaded SMI's interest in the use and enjoyment of the

Tantalo Site and nearby properties owned by SMI by virtue of creating or contributing to the past and continuing release, migration and movement of the hazardous substances and wastes into the environment at the Tantalo Site and the nearby properties.

147. Defendants, as a result of the foregoing, individually and collectively have unreasonably interfered with and invaded MHI's interest in the use and enjoyment of the MHI Property by virtue of creating or contributing to the past and continuing release, migration and movement of the hazardous substances and wastes into the environment at the Tantalo Site and the nearby properties including the MHI Property.

148. As a result of the intentional, reckless, wanton, negligent and/or ultrahazardous conduct of defendants, the hazardous substances or wastes have discharged or migrated into the soil, air and water at the Tantalo Site and nearby properties including the MHI Property, and threaten to continue to do so for the foreseeable future resulting in an ongoing interference.

149. Such migration and movement of the hazardous substances and wastes at the Tantalo Site and nearby properties, including the MHI Property, constitutes a private nuisance.

150. Defendants are jointly and severally liable to SMI and MHI for all costs in connection with the abatement of the nuisance as well as damages for all losses incurred and to be incurred by SMI and MHI.

151. Upon information and belief, plaintiffs are suffering irreparable harm because of the nuisance and have no adequate remedy at law.

152. Defendants must be enjoined to abate the continuing nuisance.



**XI. EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF, FOR PUBLIC NUISANCE**

153. Plaintiffs SMI and MHI incorporate and reallege as though fully set forth herein the allegations appearing in paragraphs "1" through "152" of this Complaint.

154. Defendants, as a result of the foregoing, created or participated in the creation of the hazardous substance contamination at the Tantalio Site and nearby properties, including contamination of the groundwater of the State of New York. The contamination poses a danger to public health and the environment, and thereby, constitutes a public nuisance.

155. SMI has suffered special damage in the form of the losses described above as a result of its ownership of the Tantalio Site and the nearby properties.

156. MHI has suffered special damage in the form of the losses described above as a result of its ownership of properties adjacent to the Tantalio Site.

157. Upon information and belief, plaintiffs are suffering irreparable harm because of the nuisance and have no adequate remedy at law.

158. Defendants must be enjoined to abate the continuing nuisance.

159. Defendants are jointly and severally liable to SMI and MHI for all costs and damages incurred and to be incurred in responding to the nuisance.

**XII. NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF, FOR STRICT LIABILITY**

160. Plaintiffs SMI and MHI incorporate and reallege as though fully set forth herein the allegations appearing in paragraphs "1" through "159" of this Complaint.

161. Upon information and belief, the generation, handling, use, storage, treatment, transportation and disposal of hazardous substances by defendants constituted

the use of such materials and the Tantalito Site in a dangerous and inherently noxious manner.

162. Upon information and belief, the foregoing created an abnormally or unreasonably dangerous and noxious condition, and resulted in a potential hazard to human health and the environment due to the release of such materials into the environment.

163. Under New York law, defendants are strictly liable for damages caused by their activities in utilizing, handling, storing, treating or disposing of such hazardous materials in such a manner.

164. Upon information and belief, the handling, storage, use, transportation and disposal of hazardous chemicals, substances and wastes created a high degree of risk of harm to persons, land or chattels of others, including that of SMI and MHI, and such harm was likely to be significant.

165. Upon information and belief, none of the defendants has taken any response action or incurred any response costs in connection with the Tantalito Site or nearby properties.

166. By reason of the foregoing, defendants are strictly liable to SMI and MHI for the losses and any future damages flowing from defendants' abnormally dangerous activities at the Tantalito Site.

### **XIII. TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF, FOR TRESPASS**

167. Plaintiffs SMI and MHI incorporate and reallege as though fully set forth herein the allegations appearing in paragraphs "1" through "166" of this Complaint.

168. Upon information and belief, the movement, seepage, flow, and migration of hazardous substances and waste, at the Tantalo Site and nearby properties, including the MHI Property, was the inevitable, direct, and foreseeable result of defendants' intentional acts or the acts of its agents or employees described herein.

169. The foregoing conduct constitutes direct interference with the rights of SMI and MHI to exclusive possession of their property, and to their subsurface and groundwater rights.

170. Defendants are liable to SMI and MHI in trespass for the resulting damages caused by defendants' conduct.

171. Upon information and belief, SMI and MHI are suffering irreparable harm as a result of the trespass and have no adequate remedy at law.

172. Defendants must be enjoined to cease the trespass on plaintiffs' property.

**XIV. ELEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF, FOR CONTRACTUAL INDEMNITY**  
**(vs. ECI, SALES AFFILIATES, ZOTOS INTERNATIONAL,**  
**W.R. GRACE & HAMPSHIRE CHEMICAL)**

173. Plaintiff SMI incorporates and realleges as though fully set forth herein the allegations appearing in paragraphs "1" through "172" of this Complaint.

174. Upon information and belief, Tantalo Construction, Inc. duly entered into a written contract on or about November, 1973 (the "Contract") with Evans for the disposal at the Tantalo Site of certain wastes generated by Evans.

175. Upon information and belief, paragraph "11" of the Contract provided that Evans would

"hold harmless and indemnify Tantalo [Construction, Inc.] from and against any claim, cause of action, law suit or demand brought against the sanitary landfill operation and arising out

of or relating to the sludge deposits or drum contents of Evans materials, upon the condition that Tantalo deal with these materials in conformance with acceptable sanitary landfill practices."

176. Upon information and belief, pursuant to the Contract with Evans, solid wastes, including but not limited to sludge deposits and drum contents produced by and belonging to Evans were disposed at the Tantalo Site.

177. Upon information and belief, Tantalo Construction, Inc. disposed of these solid waste materials "in conformance with acceptable sanitary landfill practices."

178. Upon information and belief, SMI has the right to enforce the foregoing Contract against Evans and its successors, assign, controlling parents, and related entities.

179. SMI has expended money in the investigation and clean-up of the Tantalo Site and will incur additional expenses in the future. These expenses arise out of, and relate to, solid wastes disposed of pursuant to the Contract including sludge deposits and drum contents. None of these expenses have been paid by Evans.

180. As described in paragraphs "30" through "69" above, ECI, Sales Affiliates, Zotos International, Inc., W.R. Grace and Hampshire Chemical are successors to and/or former parents of and/or the same entity as Evans and are responsible for Evans' liability under the Contract.

181. By reason of the above, SMI is entitled under the Contract to indemnification and reimbursement from ECI/Evans, Sales Affiliates, Zotos International, W.R. Grace, and Hampshire Chemical for all of SMI's losses arising out of, or relating to, the sludge deposits or drum contents of Evans' materials/waste disposed of at the Tantalo Site.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs request that the Court enter judgment on each claim against each defendant as set forth below.

- a. On the First Claim for Relief, judgment declaring and allocating each defendants' equitable share of past and future response costs, including costs related to natural resource damage claims, and awarding to SMI from all defendants, all response costs SMI has incurred and will incur above its equitable share plus interest, reasonable attorney fees and costs;
- b. On the Second Claim for Relief, judgment against all defendants declaring that defendants are jointly and severally liable to SMI for response costs, including costs related to natural resource damage claims, which SMI has incurred and will incur in the future;
- c. On the Third and Ninth Claims for Relief, judgment against all defendants awarding to plaintiffs SMI and MHI compensatory damages in an amount representing the expenses, costs, damages, and losses sustained by SMI and MHI as a proximate result of the wrongful conduct of defendants, including a declaration of defendants' liability for future damages to be incurred by plaintiffs;
- d. On the Fourth Claim for Relief, judgment against all defendants awarding to SMI compensatory damages and/or indemnification of all losses and other costs associated with SMI's response to the release of hazardous substances and wastes at the Tantalito Site;
- e. On the Fifth Claim for Relief, judgment against all defendants awarding to SMI full restitution of all costs incurred by SMI;

- f. On the Sixth Claim for Relief, judgment against all defendants awarding to SMI complete indemnification and/or contribution, or in the alternative, contribution representing the share of culpability of the defendants;
- g. On the Seventh and Eighth Claims for Relief, judgment against all defendants for an injunction requiring defendants to abate the nuisance at the Tantalio Site and nearby properties, and awarding damages to plaintiffs SMI and MHI as a result of the nuisance, including a declaration of defendants' liability for future damages to be incurred by plaintiffs;
- h. On the Tenth Claim for Relief, judgment against all defendants for an injunction requiring defendants to abate the continuing trespass and awarding damages to plaintiffs SMI and MHI as a result of defendants' trespass, including a declaration of defendants' liability for future damages to be incurred by plaintiff;
- i. On the Eleventh Claim for Relief, judgment against defendants, ECI/Evans, Hampshire Chemical, Sales Affiliates, Zotos International and W.R. Grace awarding to SMI damages including the response costs and other costs incurred by SMI in connection with Evans Chemetics waste, and a declaration of the aforementioned defendants' obligations to indemnify SMI for any future damages and/or costs incurred; and
- j. For such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: January 18, 2001  
Rochester, New York

UNDERBERG & KESSLER LLP

By: 

Ronald G. Hull, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Seneca Meadows, Inc.  
Macedon Homes, Inc.  
1800 Chase Square  
Rochester, New York 14614  
(716) 258-2800